WA Health Data Linkage Services

Minimum Data Requirements for Linkage

Version 1.1

November 2023

Version Control and Approval

This document should be considered a 'live document' and will be reviewed regularly and updated as required to:

- Reflect changes to policy and/or procedures
- Incorporate stakeholder feedback
- Determine effectiveness, relevance, and currency

Review and update of this document is coordinated by the Data and Information Systems unit within the Information and System Performance Directorate (ISPD).

Version	Published date	Approved by	Amendment(s)
1.0	May 2023	B Singh	Original Version
1.1	November 2023	B Singh	Reviewed the content of Table 1 within Section 2.1. Updated the content of Table 2 within Section 2.2.

Contact

Enquiries relating to this guide may be directed to:

Title:	Manager, Data Linkage Services
Directorate:	Information and System Performance
Email:	DataLinkageStrategy@health.wa.gov.au

Table of Contents

1. Introduction

1.1 Background

Data linkage is a method used to integrate information from different sources (e.g., different government agencies) thought to relate to the same person, place, family, or event to provide a complete picture of an individual's experience and service interaction over time.

Population based linkage services in Western Australia (WA) are delivered through the internationally recognised dynamic and enduring Western Australian Data Linkage System (WADLS). The WADLS is a core function of the WA Department of Health (Department), with the infrastructure having supported significant improvements for health policy and care in WA as well as supporting research projects using linked data for analysis.

The data linkage process is complex and primarily utilises demographic data (or otherwise known as "reasonably identifiable information" such as name, date of birth and address) to bring together records likely belonging to the same individual. To be feasible, data linkage requires a minimum set of demographic and unit level data. Linkage quality and efficiency increases with enhanced data quality, completeness, and consistency to enable the highest possible linkage rate.

1.2 Purpose

The purpose of this document is to provide guidance to data providers on the minimum requirements of data to enable the application of high quality and efficient data linkage practices, and to maintain the integrity of the WADLS.

2. Minimum data requirements

2.1 Mandatory data items

The following data items are mandatory for effective data linkage:

Data item	Data item description	Field type	Example
Unique record ID	Unique and enduring record identifier (ID) that maps back to specific records in the original system/collection. At times, it might be comprised of multiple fields.	Alpha numeric	
First name	Person's first name	Character	JOHN
Surname	Person's surname	Character	DOE
Date of birth	Person's date of birth	Character	01/03/1960
Address	Person's residential address at time of event	Character	12 SMITH STREET
Postcode	Person's residential postcode at time of event	Numeric	6000

Table 1. Mandatory Data Items for Linkage

2.2 Recommended additional valuable data items

There are additional data items that are not compulsory for linkage but are very valuable as they can increase linkage quality, completeness, and efficiency. For example, IDs that deterministically connect one data collection to another, such as Unit Medical Record Numbers or Elector Numbers. Table 2 details some examples of additional value adding data items.

Data item	Data item description	Field type	Example
Person ID	Person's ID within a dataset used to group all records belonging to one individual within the original system/collection.	Alpha numeric	
Phone number	Person's contact number	Numeric	
Email address	Person's email address	Alpha numeric	
Aboriginal status	Person's Aboriginal status	Character	
Unit medical record number	Person's unit medical record number	Alpha numeric	A1234567
Medicare number	Person's Medicare number	Numeric	
Medicare individual reference number	Person's Medicare reference number	Numeric	

Sex	Person's sex (not gender)	Character	1, 2, 9 M, F, U Male, Female, Unknown
Date of event/date of service	Date the event or service occurred	Character	01/06/1995
Date of death	Date the person died	Character	09/11/2006
Middle name(s)	Person's middle name(s)		EDWARD
Suburb	Person's residential suburb at time of event	Character	PERTH

Table 2. Supplementary Data Items for Linkage

2.3 Accepted and preferred data formats

The Department's Data Linkage Services branch prefers tab-delimited or comma separated (csv) files, particularly for large datasets (more than 50,000 records). Excel spreadsheets are accepted however must contain only one sheet of data.

For linkage purposes, "event based" data (i.e., data where an individual's information is captured across multiple records for separate events) is preferred over "person based" data (i.e., data where individuals have only one record with all of their information contained within). "Person based" data can be especially problematic if the data provider overwrites old values with new ones through subsequent modifications (e.g., updating addresses).

Multi-component fields such as name and address are best split into their subcomponents and provided as separate fields. For example:

- First name;
- Middle name;
- Surname;
- Street address;
- Suburb;
- Postcode.

If geocoding is also required, data must be provided as "event based".

2.4 Additional data requirements

2.4.1 NULL values

Whilst the Department standardises data prior to linkage as best as possible, text equivalents of 'NULL' and 'N/A' can be time consuming to standardise. As such, data providers must identify and provide the Department with information on all the variants used.

2.4.2 Non-human and dummy records

Non-human and dummy records should also be excluded from the data file provided for linkage, noting that some datasets include animals, vehicles, equipment, etc. Examples of dummy data includes "DUPLICATE", "TEST", "DO NOT USE", "REFER TO".Consistent formatting within data items

Formatting within data items must be consistent to ensure data is handled correctly for linkage. For example, all date values provided must be in the same format.

2.4.3 Metadata

Metadata is very useful when determining how to use fields for linkage, particularly when the data are understood by only a select group of people with specialised knowledge. Data providers must ensure they provide the Department with data dictionaries and/or code lists that corresponds to the data provided for linkage.

2.4.4 Duplicate rows

As the data provided for linkage is usually only a subset of the full dataset, it is possible that when the data is extracted from the source system it will include duplicate rows (i.e., rows that contain exactly the same information). Data providers must ensure they remove all duplicate rows from the data file provided for linkage.

3. Data updates

3.1 Deltas

If ongoing data updates will be provided to the Department, these will be easiest to process if the data provider can provide only records that are new or have been modified since their previous provision.

3.1.1 Documentation of data extraction processes

To ensure data updates are provided to the Department in a consistent format and timely manner, data providers must maintain sufficient documentation of the extraction process including any scripts that were used, information on the data that is extracted, and information on the frequency of data provision.

3.2 Data changes

Data changes such as the addition of new fields, moving the data to a new storage system, or reallocation of record IDs will impact processing requirements for data updates. In these instances, it is the responsibility of the data provider to format the data to look as similar as possible to the previous version (e.g., same format, same field order, same naming conventions, with newly added fields appended to the end of each record). Where this is not possible the data provider must advise the Department in a timely manner to allow for any development work required.

4. Appendices

4.1 Data provider checklist

☐	All records have a unique and enduring record
☐	Data includes all of the following data items, if available: first name, middle name(s), surname, date of birth, address, suburb, postcode
☐	Number of delimiters or fields are consistent
☐	Data does not include duplicate rows
☐	Data does not include instances where a record ID is assigned to more than one record
☐	Single records do not wrap onto two lines
☐	Data is formatted consistently within fields
☐	Data extraction process has been documented (particularly if data updates are to be provided in the future)
☐	Where the data is an update, it matches the exact same format as what was previously provided to the DLS, unless otherwise agreed
☐	Dummy and non-human data have been excluded from the extract